





## 2<sup>nd</sup> Transnational Meeting Steering Committee PROVYP PROJECT

June, 2<sup>nd</sup> - 4<sup>th</sup>, 2016

Sofia (Bulgaria)



















#### POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS TEMPLATE

#### MAX. 3 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS REQUIRED BY EACH PROVYP PROJECT PARTNER.

The aim of the following template is to develop and submit policy recommendations at turopean, trational, Region Local Lavel for better regulation of the current guidence provided in adult education.

Based on OT analysis each partner expert will develop policy recommendations at local/regional or matienal be month). Then the experts will submit the recommendations to the DG 595-CM for their consolidation at turopear (2 months).

The policy recommendations seek to inform the decision maker of policy options that are evidence hased, robust a achieve the decised result in various consists. With the creation of each policy brief we hope that maybe, just not will get the right policy maker to readour compelling arguments and spearhead the process of creating so us effective policies with our research as their sword. Unfortunately, policy influence rarely happens in this smanner, we have to try to do is identify the policy makers' problems and give them action able policy recommendations.

HELD	CONTENT
L. RESIDENCE METRY	To which region/sourtrythe recommendation refers?
2. DEGREEATER	Which organisation can be contacted for more information?
3. DINE	Provide an e-mail with eas, either of the organisation or the contact person
4. TARGET ALBERTA	What is taggeted policy makers' sphere of influence and what charge can they implement?
S. COMMENTSTRATION	Max 100 L100 words.  What the our end policy you want to change is PResse, commarise using the analysed good practices results (00).
L MINE	Was 180 190 words. Identify the shortfulls of the current policy. Where is this policy falling, why and howcan you recommendations improve the sales again.
7. Stockmount	Max 300-550 words.  What specifically needs to be sharged? Now will this sharge come alous?  Please, identify max. 3 changes/recommendations and elaborate on these. Pick the three it must precise and elaborate on these. Pick the three in the section able was. The word actionable suggests that your recommendations should be active.
B. REIGHOD	uning language that is active rather than pactive. Wends such as use, engage, incorporate, or What, 75–100 words. What resource will be needed? Where will these resources some from? Please, directive for each recommendation suggested above.
A. C. BARROTT	Max 500-500 words.  What is the everall-benefit to-both the policy make transference in general?  Figure, describe for each recommendations aggressed alone.
SIL RESOLUTION SUPPLIES	Min Th-000-words.  Please, surrequire the major seasons of evidence used in the previous research.  Moles sure your research supports your recommendations. This may sound very obvious bu majors will asset to know that the evidence supports your asset time.
11. 1140.07	Man 100 (10) words. Is the recommendation statist? Does the recommendation seemfe as bis? Figure, describe for each recommendation suggested above.
13. APPLICABLITY	Max 75-000 words  * Locally, regionally, nationally in sensin regions or Member States.  Please, describe for each recommendation suggested above.
ES. SATAMARITY	Man 180 words.     Considering the adoption of the policy recommendation is improve regulation of corner publishes provided in adult education in your country.
EA. SPECIAL ATES	Places and reloted electronic documents (brochures, data project, evaluations, etc.)



### **IO2 TEMPLATE**

Policy Recommendations

### POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS TEMPLATE

# MAX. 3 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS REQUIRED BY EACH PROVYP PROJECT PARTNER

The aim of the following template is to develop and submit policy recommendations at European, National, Regional and Local Level for better regulation of the career guidance provided in adult education.

Based on O1 analysis each partner expert will develop policy recommendations at local/regional or national level (1 month). Then the experts will submit the recommendations to the DG SSIS-CM for their consolidation at European level (2 months).

The policy recommendations seek to inform the decision maker of policy options that are evidence based, robust and will achieve the desired result in various scenarios. With the creation of each policy brief we hope that maybe, just maybe, we will get the right policy maker to read our compelling arguments and spearhead the process of creating sound and effective policies with our research as their sword. Unfortunately, policy influence rarely happens in this manner. What we have to try to do is identify the policy makers' problems and give them actionable policy recommendations.





	FIELD	CONTENT
1.	REGION/COUNTRY	To which region/country the recommendation refers?
2.	ORGANISATION	Which organisation can be contacted for more information?
3.	EMAIL	Provide an e-mail address, either of the organisation or the contact person





	FIELD	CONTENT
4.	TARGET AUDIENCE	What is targeted policy makers' sphere of influence and what change can they implement?
5.	CURRENT SITUATION	Max 100-150 words.  What the current policy you want to change is? Please, summarise using the analysed good/bad practices results (O1)
6.	SCENE	Max 100-150 words.  Identify the shortfalls of the current policy. Where is this policy failing, why and how can your recommendations improve the status quo?





	FIELD	CONTENT
7.	SUGGESTED CHANGE	Max 300-450 words.  What specifically needs to be changed? How will this change come about?  Please, identify max. 3 changes/recommendations and elaborate on these. Pick the three that are most practical and relevant for your target audience, then focus on presenting these in the most actionable way. The word actionable suggests that your recommendations should be active. Try using language that is active rather than passive. Words such as use, engage, incorporate, etc.
8.	RESOURCES	Max. 75-100 words.  What resources will be needed? Where will these resources come from?  Please, describe for each recommendation suggested above.





FIELD	CONTENT
9. BENEFIT	Max 300-450 words.  What is the overall benefit to both the policy makers and society in general?  Please, describe for each recommendation suggested above.
10. RESEARCH SUPPORTS	Max 75-100 words. Please, summarise the main sources of evidence used in the previous research. Make sure your research supports your recommendations. This may sound very obvious but policy makers will want to know that the evidence supports your assertions.
11. VIABILITY	Max 100-150 words. Is the recommendation viable? Does the recommendation seem feasible? Please, describe for each recommendation suggested above.





FIELD	CONTENT
12. APPLICABILITY	Max 75-100 words  ■ Locally, regionally, nationally, in certain regions or Member States  Please, describe for each recommendation suggested above.
13. SUSTAINABILITY	<ul> <li>Max 100 words</li> <li>■ Considering the adoption of the policy recommendation to improve regulation of the career guidance provided in adult education in your country</li> </ul>
14. UPLOAD A FILE	Please send related electronic documents (brochures, data analysis, evaluations, etc.)











WEBSITE: www.madrid.org/inmigramadrid

E-MAIL ciudadania.europea@madrid.org















